

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,008	12/31/2003	Maria Theresa Barnes Leon	OIC0104US 5533	
60975 CSA LLP	7590 04/02/2007	EXAMINER		INER
4807 SPICEWOOD SPRINGS RD. BLDG. 4, SUITE 201 AUSTIN, TX 78759			MISIASZEK, MICHAEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		•	3625	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTHS		04/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/751,008	BARNES LEON ET AL.				
	nce Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MAN INC DATE of this committee of	Michael Misiaszek	3625				
Period for Repl	MAILING DATE of this communication app Y	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHICHEVE - Extensions of the after SIX (6) M - If NO period for Failure to reply Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY R IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAILING may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ived by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•						
1)⊠ Respo	onsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Ja</u>	nuary 2007.					
2a)∐ This a	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
· —	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed	I in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of	Claims						
4) Claim	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-8 and 11-27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,11 and 17-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim	(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim	(s) <u>4-8,12-16 and 24-27</u> is/are rejected.						
•	(s) is/are objected to.						
8) ☐ Claim	(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Pa	pers						
9)∏ The sp	ecification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10)∏ The dr	awing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.				
	ant may not request that any objection to the						
	ement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)[_] The oa	th or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under	85 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊡ Acknov a)⊡ All	wledgment is made of a claim for foreign b)  Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
·—	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the	attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
•							
	· ·	·					
Attachment(s)		_					
	erences Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) X Information D	ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) bisclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Mail Date <u>1/30/2007</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F					

Application/Control Number: 10/751,008

Art Unit: 3625

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendments filed 1/22/2007 have been received and reviewed. That status of the claims is as follows:

Claims 3-8 and 11-27 are pending. Claims 3,11, and 17-23 have been withdrawn from consideration by the applicant.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 4-8, 12-16, and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rappoport.

# Regarding Claims 24-27

Rappaport discloses a method and medium comprising managing a product, comprising:

 extracting product management information in a first form, wherein the product management information comprises information regarding the managing of the product and the first form is associated with a first source computerized product Application/Control Number: 10/751,008 Page 3

Art Unit: 3625

management system (at least abstract: product design data for source system extracted from source system)

- converting the product management information in the first form, wherein the
  converting the product management information in the first form converts the
  product management information in the first form into product management
  information that is in a second intermediate form (at least column 10, lines 1-8:
  data converted into intermediate form and stored in bridge structure)
- converting the product management information in the second intermediate
  forma wherein the converting the product management information in the second
  intermediate form converts the product management information in the second
  intermediate form into product management information in a target form
  the product management information in a target form corresponds to a target
  computerized product management system (at least column 5, lines 1-16:
  intermediary form converted to target form for target system)
- the second intermediate form comprises a list of product elements for defining a
  hierarchy of data elements (at least column 5, lines 24-35: intermediate form
  can preserve parametrics, features of design)
- using the product management information in the target form to perform at least one computer-implemented act from a set of computer-implemented acts comprising:
  - creating a new product record in the target computerized product management system;

o updating an existing product management record in the target computerized product management system (at least column 5, lines 36-46: once in target form, design can be manipulated/updated)

Rappaport does not specifically disclose that the data being stored and converted in the method is product management information.

However, these differences are only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and are not functionally involved in the steps recited. The extracting, converting, and using steps would be performed in the same manner regardless of the data. Merely labeling the data in a specific manner would not alter the functionality of the claimed method. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see *In re Gulack*, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); *In re Lowry*, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Further, the examiner notes that Rappaport teaches using CAD systems for handling enterprise resource planning data (see at least column 1, lines 43-52), which is equated in product management information in the present specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,008

Art Unit: 3625

Regarding Claims 4-8, 12-16

Rappoport does not specifically disclose the hierarchy of specific data elements claimed.

However, these differences are only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and are not functionally involved in the steps recited. The extracting, converting, and using steps of independent claims 24 and 26 would be performed in the same manner regardless of the data. In other words, no matter the format or makeup of the product management information, the same steps would be performed. Merely arranging the data in a specific fashion or labeling the data in a specific manner would not alter the functionality of the claimed method. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see *In re Gulack*, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); *In re Lowry*, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Application/Control Number: 10/751,008 Page 6

Art Unit: 3625

## Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's assertion that the applicability of Rappoport has not been applied with the requisite specificity, the Examiner notes that the cited sections of Rappoport have been more clearly equated to the claimed limitations in the rejection above.

Applicant's further arguments with respect to the combinations of references rejecting claims 4-8, 12-16, and 24-27 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,008 Page 7

Art Unit: 3625

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Misiaszek whose telephone number is (571) 272-6961. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Smith can be reached on (571) 272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael A. Misiaszek Patent Examiner 3/28/2007

MATTHEW S. GART PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600